REMARKS

In the Final Office Action of June 12, 2008, claims 1-5 and 7-12 were rejected

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No.

5 4,527,255 ("Keshtbod") in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,164,608 ("Lee").

In response, Applicant respectfully asserts that the cited reference of Lee is not

prior art with respect to the current application. The current application is a national

application based on a PCT patent application, which was filed on June 10, 2004. The

filing date of Lee is February 11, 2005, which is after the filing date of the PCT patent

application. Thus, the cited reference of Lee cannot be used to reject the claims of the

current application.

10

15

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the claims in view of the

remarks made herein. A notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Roger Cuppens

20 Date: July 24, 2008

By: /thomas h. ham/ Thomas H. Ham

D : 1 1 12 12 12

Registration No. 43,654

Telephone: (925) 249-1300

Attorney Docket No. NL 030715 Serial No. 10/560,677

2

Response to Final Office Action